SELECTIVE CH₄/CO₂ GAS SEPARATION OF AN ANISOTROPIC ZINC BASED METAL ORGANIC FRAMEWORK¹

Authored by Luke Huelsenbeck, under the supervision of Garauv Giri

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Virginia

Abstract

This work investigates the morphological control and CO_2/CH_4 adsorption characteristics of an anisotropic MOF, [Zn₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)]_n. Rod and plate morphologies are acquired through changing the modulating species to acetic acid or pyridine during synthesis, respectively. Each morphology is rationalized to expose a different major surface pore aperture which in turn should present different mass transport characteristics. To study this effect, single-component equilibrium and kinetic adsorption data for CO2 and CH4 were collected in order to determine diffusion and transport characteristics of gases through each surface pore type. Equilibrium analysis indicates a slight selectivity towards CO₂ whereas kinetic data unexpectedly shows lower diffusion time constants for CO₂ compared to CH₄. Mass transfer resistances on each species is discussed to explain the observed trend.

Introduction

The efficient separation of CO₂ from CH₄ is critical for the technoeconomic success of the natural and biogas industries, industrial separation however current techniques are energy intensive or require high capital and operational expenses.^{2,3} Common separation processes such as cryogenic distillation require a significant amount of energy, highlighting the need to approaches.^{2,3} alternative Recent find



Figure 1. Schematic of the modulation process for the $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ MOF. Acetic acid (red pyramids) competitively coordinates with 1,4-naphthalene dicarboxylate (red rods) to direct growth in the [001] direction, while pyridine (blue pyramids) competitively coordinates with DABCO (blue spheres) to direct growth in the [100] and [010] direction. Reprinted with permission from [1].

attempts at making these separations more efficient involve the use of polymer membranes. While these membrane systems require a lower energy input, a lower concentration of feed CO₂ is required to prevent the membrane from plasticizing.4 Other approaches use zeolites, but these materials are often synthesized with nonideal particle morphologies, offer a limited range of pore sizes and chemistry, and can capital-intensive require energy and synthesis conditions.⁵ An ideal separation platform would use easily synthesized and readily tunable materials, and would be effective regardless of intake quantities and concentrations.

Huelsenbeck-1

Text and figures reprinted with permission from: Huelsenbeck, L.; Westendorff, K.S.; Gu, Y.; Marino, S.; Jung, S.; Epling, W.S.; Giri, G. Modulating and Orienting an Anisotropic Zn-Based Metal Organic Framework for Selective CH4/CO2 Gas Separation. Crystals 2019, 9, 20.

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are novel materials and could potentially fulfill these requirements. MOFs consist of metal ion clusters bound together by coordinating organic linkers to form a porous, periodic structure ^{6,7}. Their key features include high surface areas (> 1000 m²/g), chemical customizability, and relatively easy and low temperature synthesis, which enable their application in a wide range of areas including catalysis, fuel cell development, drug delivery, data storage, and gas separations. $_{6,8-12}$

MOFs have shown promise as selective gas separators due to their ability to sterically and chemically restrict the species that pass through their pores. MOFs have previously been used in combination with a polymer matrix to demonstrate a high selectivity in a CO₂/CH₄ gas mixture.³ It was hypothesized that the selective permeation was due to the well-defined sorption properties of the MOF.³ microporous, crystalline Additionally, it has been shown that the unmodulated [Cu₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)]_n MOF can separate gases in a CO₂/CH₄ system where CO₂ and CH₄ have differing kinetic diameters of 3.3 Å and 3.8 Å, respectively ^{13,14}. This system is interesting for gas sorption kinetics due to its different lattice dimensions leading to anisotropic pore sizes (a = b = 10.819 Å, c = 9.635 Å).

Recent studies have shown that these gas adsorption capabilities can be further enhanced through the introduction of modulators during MOF synthesis, by altering the overall crystal growth process.¹⁵ In anisotropic MOFs, such as those in the M₂(dicarboxylate)₂(N-ligand) series, the modulator-based growth control is dramatic and can significantly alter crystal aspect ratios.^{16–18} The introduction of modulators during synthesis can target either the carboxylate (h00, 0k0) or the amino (00l) planes, inhibiting crystal growth along these planes and directing MOF crystal growth

along unmodulated axes (**Figure 1**).^{16–18} Previous work has demonstrated the $[Cu_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ (NDC=1,4naphthalene dicarboxylate; DABCO=1,4diazabicyclo[2.2.2]-octane) member of the $[M_2(dicarboxylate)_2(N-ligand)]_n$ MOF series can be modulated to obtain rod or plate morphologies with the use of acetic acid (carboxylate) or pyridine (amino) modulators.¹⁹

The anisotropy of the unit cell, combined with modifying the crystal aspect ratio, pore apertures of different exposes dimensions on the crystal surface. The choice of modulator determines which pore aperture is exposed as the major pore aperture on the crystal surface, allowing for selection of the surface pore dimension, as well as the total internal channel length of each pore type. For example, the use of an amino modulator creates a plate morphology where a carboxylate-carboxylate aperture predominates on the crystal surface, while the use of a carboxylate modulator creates a rod morphology where more of the carboxylateamino aperture is present on the surface of the crystal. These morphologies and their subsequent major surface pores can be used to create and tune molecular sieves for specific separation applications within the same topology.

In this work, we synthesized and characterized the $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ MOF and controlled its morphology using pyridine and acetic acid as modulators to form plates and rods, respectively. We then demonstrated the change in separation selectivity using these two crystal morphologies in a single component adsorption system for both CO₂ and CH₄.



Figure 2. SEM image of $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]$ (a) unmodulated resulting in bulk morphology (b) 1.6 M acetic acid as a modulator resulting in rod morphology and (c) 1.6 M pyridine as a modulator resulting in plate morphology. Scale bars are 5 μ m. Reprinted with permission from [1].

 Table 1. Minor axis, major axis, and aspect ratio measurements for different morphologies of [Zn2(NDC)2(DABCO)]n. Reprinted with permission from [1].

Morphology	Minor Axis (µm)	Major Axis (µm)	Aspect Ratio	Major Surface Plane(s)
Bulk	0.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 1.1	N/A
Rod	1.2 ± 0.5	10.5 ± 5.4	10.1 ± 5.3	(100), (010)
Plate	0.4 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.4	6.9 ± 2.5	(001)

Results and Discussion

Characterization of modulated [Zn₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)]_n

By extending the rationale developed by Pham et al., rod and plate morphologies of [Zn₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)] can be created with the use of acetic acid (carboxylate) or pyridine (amine) modulators, respectively ¹⁹. Figure 2 shows scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of particles synthesized with no modulator, 1.6 M acetic acid as modulator, and 1.6 M pyridine as modulator. Compared to the unmodulated synthesis, the modulated syntheses show larger crystals. The acetic acid modulator shows the formation of rod like crystals, and the pyridine modulator shows the formation of plate like crystals. This confirms that modulating for different coordination modes in a MOF composed of two distinct linkers can yield drastically different morphologies. Aspect ratios of these crystals are described in Table 1 showing both modulators increase aspect ratio of their respective the morphologies. The unmodulated MOF shows slight preferential growth to a rod-like morphology. While the major axis for the rod morphology is in the [001] direction, the major axes for the plate morphology are in the [100] and [010] directions (**Figure 1**). It should be noted the major planes on each particle (i.e. the surfaces parallel to the major axis or axes) are associated with the carboxylate-carboxylate or carboxylateamine pore aperture as a result of the anisotropic crystal structure.

Powder x-ray diffraction (PXRD) data was collected for $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ MOF synthesis with and without the presence of the amine and carboxylate modulators compared to a simulated diffraction pattern (cite). These patterns show that the modulators do not alter the crystal structure. It should be noted that texture in the bulk powder sample is observed. Differing relative intensities in the peaks, specifically in those matching the (001) and (110) planes, are recorded for different morphologies. Since particle orientation affects the relative intensity of diffraction peaks, these patterns indicate a preferential crystal orientation for a bulk powder. This is likely due to particle morphology influencing packing, orienting particles along their major axes and with respect to the substrate.²⁰ This effect is highlighted in **Figure 2c**, where plates are observed to orient in stacks.

Adsorption Isotherms

Nitrogen isotherms of the synthesized $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ MOF were used to verify the microporosity of the synthesized material for the bulk and modulated samples.¹ The BET surface area (**Table 2**) and isotherms are comparable to that of reported values for the Zn and Cu analog of (M=Zn,Cu). 16,19 $[M_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ Experiments show that the $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ surface area measurements are highly sensitive to the drying procedure.¹ The sensitivity is likely due to solvent stabilization effects observed in the [Zn₂(dicarboxylate)₂(N-ligand)]_n MOF family ¹⁶. Previous results have shown that a MOF with a similar zinc-based topology had crystallinity reduced after activation, indicating a partial collapse of the framework ¹⁶. Given this information, it is difficult to ascertain surface area contributions from defects due to modulation (i.e. defect engineering) or degradation from activation for the different modulated syntheses. However, a similarity in measured surface area between morphologies shows that any effect is similar in all three cases.

Figure 3 shows the single-component isotherms for CO_2 and CH_4 of $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]$ at 273 K for different morphologies. The total uptake of each species follows the same trend as BET surface area measurements, where lower quantities of CO_2 and CH_4 are adsorbed by lower surface area morphologies. This

Table 2. Brunauer, Emmet, Teller (BET) surfaceareas calculated from nitrogen isotherms at 77 Kfordifferentmorphologiesof $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ and a reference value.Reprinted with permission from [1].



Figure 3. Adsorption isotherms for bulk, plate and rod morphologies of [Zn2(NDC)2(DABCO)]n at 273 K for (a) CO2 and (b) CH4. Reprinted with permission from [1].

Morphology	Adsorbate	am (<i>mmol/g</i>)	b (<i>kPa</i> ⁻¹)	K (mmol/g kPa)	CO ₂ /CH ₄ Selectivity, α
Bulk	$\overline{CO_2}$	12.78	0.0066	0.0842	3.85
	CH_4	9.38	0.0023	0.0219	
Rod	CO_2	10.35	0.0069	0.0716	4.46
	CH_4	5.26	0.0031	0.0161	
Plate	CO_2	10.21	0.0070	0.0719	4.26
	CH_4	6.16	0.0027	0.0169	

Table 3. Langmuir isotherm fitting parameters (a_m and b), Henry's constant (K) and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity for different morphologies of [Zn₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)]_n. Reprinted with permission from [1].

behavior is expected for physisorption as the quantity adsorbed is a function of the accessible surface area. The Langmuir isotherm model (Eq. 1) provides an accurate approximation of the adsorption with fitting parameters listed in **Table 3**.

$$q = a_m \frac{bP}{1+bP} \tag{1}$$

Where q is the quantity adsorbed per mass of adsorbate, a_m is the maximum adsorbed quantity per adsorbate mass to form a complete monolayer, b is the affinity or Langmuir constant and P is the dosing pressure.

The product of a_m and b yield another constant termed Henry's constant (*K*), which provides a linear approximation of the adsorption isotherm. The ratio of Henry's constants for CO₂ and CH₄ provides an equilibrium selectivity, α .

 $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]$ demonstrates moderate selectivity towards CO₂ compared to CH₄. This value is comparable to selectivity for other MOFs under similar conditions such as the ZIF family (3.9-10.1) ²¹, MIL-101 (3-5) ²² and MOF-177 (4.43).²³ The higher affinity of CO₂ to adsorb on the surface is likely due to a higher quadrupole moment.^{22,24} Both modulated morphologies (amine and carboxylate) show a moderate increase in selectivity compared to the bulk. This may be because more defect sites are preferentially interacting with CO_2^{25} , however more work is required to confirm this interaction.

Surface Pore Aperture and CO₂/CH₄ Kinetic Selectivity

As previously mentioned, the major surface for each crystal morphology, defined as the surfaces parallel to the crystal major axes, is associated with a crystallographic More specifically, plane. the plate morphology will have a major surface with a pore entrance aperture defined by the carboxylate-carboxylate frame, whereas the rod morphology will have a major surface with a pore entrance aperture defined by the carboxylate-amine frame (Figure 1). Each pore aperture is expected to present different mass transfer resistances.²⁶ Therefore. diffusional differences between carboxylatecarboxylate and carboxylate-amine pore apertures will exist and variation in kinetic selectivity between morphologies can be expected for the differently sized adsorbates CO₂ and CH₄. Given the high aspect ratio of each morphology, a significant portion of diffusion is expected to occur through the major surface pore.

Figure 4 shows representative data taken for the uptake of CO₂ and CH₄ for the bulk morphology. CH₄ is adsorbed more quickly,



morphology of $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ at 273 K for CO₂ and CH₄. Reprinted with permission from [1].

reaching 90% of the total uptake at around 50 seconds compared to about 125 seconds for the CO₂. This contradicts other work in microporous MOFs, which determined the diffusion of CO₂ is much quicker than CH₄ due to the difference in kinetic diameter.²⁷ However, such a trend agrees with the Knudsen diffusion model, which states molecules with larger mass will diffuse more slowly in pores with a diameter below that of the mean free path, as is the case for gas in microporous materials. To better understand the diffusion of each species with respect to morphologies, we apply a single-component adsorption micropore diffusion control model developed by Ruthven et al. 28 to adsorption rate data collected for CO₂ and CH4 that has successfully been applied to several MOF particle systems.^{22,29}

$$\frac{m}{m_{\infty}} \approx \frac{6}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{D_c t}{r_c^2} - 3\frac{D_c t}{r_c^2}} \qquad (2)$$

Where m/m_{∞} is the mass uptake relative to the equilibrium uptake mass, D_c is the intracrystalline diffusivity, r_c is the crystal radius, and t is time. It should be noted Eq. 2 is valid for $\frac{m}{m_{\infty}} < 0.85$.²⁸ This model assumes the intracrystalline diffusion resistances are much greater than macroporous resistances in particle aggregates, diffusion is constant within the crystalline material, and the concentration of adsorbate is constant outside of the particle. All of these assumptions are valid given that the free diffusivity of gases in macropores are much greater than those in the micropores ²⁸, the gases do not condense in the micropores under the sampling conditions, and the sample tube volume can be approximated as an infinite reservoir compared to the volume adsorbed.

Table 4 shows the diffusion time constants, D_c/r_c^2 , found by fitting Eq 2. to multiple uptake curves for each gas species and morphology at 94 kPa (700 mmHg). Kinetic selectivity was found by dividing the diffusion time constant for CO₂ by the diffusion time constant for CH₄. It can be seen that kinetic selectivity exists for CO₂/CH₄ as a function of morphology. Interestingly, the CO₂ diffusion time constant remains relatively constant across the different morphologies. This implies that the diffusional resistances on CO2 within the framework are not highly dependent on diffusion direction (i.e. the pore aperture size or frame chemistry) and may be dominated by more complex electrostatic interactions with the framework.^{30,31} A two-fold increase in diffusion time constant is observed for CH4 between the plate and rod, suggesting the different pore apertures have a significant effect on diffusion through the framework. These data show the diffusion through the carboxylate-amine frame (rod) is nearly twice as fast as the carboxylate-carboxylate frame (plate). Since CH₄ is thought to have little chemical or intermolecular interactions with the framework, the diffusion is likely determined by pore aperture size. ³² Given the linker geometry and bond rotation of 1,4-

Morphology	CO ₂	CH4	CO ₂ /CH ₄
	$D_c/r_c(s^{-1}) \ge 10^{-3}$	$D_c/r_c(s^{-1}) \ge 10^{-3}$	Kinetic Selectivity
Bulk	1.57 ± 0.07	5.24 ± 0.44	$\boldsymbol{0.300 \pm 0.028}$
Rod	1.73 ± 0.13	$\boldsymbol{8.75\pm0.74}$	$\boldsymbol{0.197 \pm 0.022}$
Plate	1.88 ± 0.15	$\textbf{4.72} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	0.399 ± 0.039

Table 4. Diffusion time constants from fitting Eq. 2 to kinetic adsorption data of CO_2 and CH_4 and kinetic selectivity for varied morphologies. Reprinted with permission from [1].

naphthalenedicarboxylate, the pore aperture in the carboxylate-carboxylate frame may be significantly smaller than the carboxylateamine frame. Such a geometry could explain why the diffusion is faster through the carboxylate-amine frame, however more work is required to understand the chemistry and structure around each pore aperture.

Conclusion

anisotropic MOF The $[Zn_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ in the $[M_2(NDC)_2(DABCO)]_n$ (M=metal) family can be modulated in a similar fashion to other metal analogs. Modulation yielded high aspect ratio crystals with different pore apertures on the major surface of a given morphology. XRD and BET characterization showed modulation had little effect on the crystallinity and microporosity of the resulting materials. Furthermore, it was demonstrated [Zn₂(NDC)₂(DABCO)]_n has a moderate equilibrium selectivity of around 4 for all morphologies, which is comparable to other MOFs. Kinetic selectivity experiments showed the diffusion of CH4 into the framework as measured by a diffusion time constant was much faster than CO₂. We hypothesize this may be due to other interactions controlling the CO₂ diffusion as the diffusion constant did not change appreciably between different morphologies

(i.e. pore apertures). We further hypothesized the pore aperture defined by the carboxylateamine frame may be larger, as it allows for faster diffusion of CH₄. Finally, oriented films were fabricated using a convective deposition technique termed solution shearing¹, which can be used for creating high performance selective membranes of oriented thin film MOFs.

References

- Text and figures reprinted with permission from: Huelsenbeck, L.; Westendorff, K.S.; Gu, Y.; Marino, S.; Jung, S.; Epling, W.S.; Giri, G. Modulating and Orienting an Anisotropic Zn-Based Metal Organic Framework for Selective CH4/CO2 Gas Separation. Crystals 2019, 9, 20.
- Sholl, D.S.; Lively, R.P. Seven chemical separations to change the world. *Nature* 2016, 532, 435–437, doi:10.1038/532435a.
- Kertik, A.; Wee, L.H.; Pfannmöller, M.; Bals, S.; Martens, J.A.; Vankelecom, I.F.J. Highly selective gas separation membrane using in situ amorphised metal-organic frameworks. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2017, 10, 2342–2351, doi:10.1039/c7ee01872j.
- Suleman, M.S.; Lau, K.K.; Yeong, Y.F. Plasticization and Swelling in Polymeric Membranes in CO₂ Removal from Natural Gas. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 2016, 39, 1604–1616.
- 5. Rangnekar, N.; Mittal, N.; Elyassi, B.; Caro, J.;

Tsapatsis, M. Zeolite membranes—A review and comparison with MOFs. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 7128–7154, doi:10.1039/C5CS00292C.

- Li, J.R.; Kuppler, R.J.; Zhou, H.C. Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 1477–1504, doi:10.1039/b802426j.
- Yuan, S.; Feng, L.; Wang, K.; Pang, J.; Bosch, M.; Lollar, C.; Sun, Y.; Qin, J.; Yang, X.; Zhang, P.; et al. Stable Metal-Organic Frameworks: Design, Synthesis, and Applications. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1704303, doi:10.1002/adma.201704303.
- Wang, H.; Zhu, Q.-L.; Zou, R.; Xu, Q. Metal-Organic Frameworks for Energy Applications. *Chem* 2017, 2, 52–80, doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2016.12.002.
- Al Haydar, M.; Abid, H.R.; Sunderland, B.; Wang, S. Metal organic frameworks as a drug delivery system for flurbiprofen. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2017, 11, 2685–2695, doi:10.2147/DDDT.S145716.
- Worrall, S.D.; Bissett, M.A.; Hirunpinyopas, W.; Attfield, M.P.; Dryfe, R.A.W. Facile fabrication of metal–organic framework HKUST-1-based rewritable data storage devices. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2016, 4, 8687–8695, doi:10.1039/C6TC03496A.
- 11. Farha, O.K.; Eryazici, I.; Jeong, N.C.; Hauser, B.G.; Wilmer, C.E.; Sarjeant, A.A.; Snurr, R.Q.; Nguyen, S.T.; Yazaydın, A.Ö.; Hupp, J.T. Metal–Organic Framework Materials with Ultrahigh Surface Areas: Is the Sky the Limit? J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15016–15021, doi:10.1021/ja3055639.
- 12. Gangu, K.K.; Maddila, S.; Mukkamala, S.B.; Jonnalagadda, S.B. A review on contemporary Metal-Organic Framework materials. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2016, 446, 61–74.
- 13. Bétard, A.; Bux, H.; Henke, S.; Zacher, D.; Caro, J.; Fischer, R.A. Fabrication of a CO₂-selective membrane by stepwise liquid-phase deposition of an alkylether functionalized pillared-layered metal-organic framework [Cu₂L₂P]_n on a macroporous support. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2012, 150, 76–82, doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2011.09.006.
- 14. Ismail, A.F.; Khulbe, K.C.; Matsuura, T. Gas Separation Membrane Materials and Structures. In

Gas Separation Membranes: Polymeric and Inorganic; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2015, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-01095-3.

- 15. Hu, Z.; Castano, I.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y.; Peng, Y.; Qian, Y.; Chi, C.; Wang, X.; Zhao, D. Modulator Effects on the Water-Based Synthesis of Zr/Hf Metal–Organic Frameworks: Quantitative Relationship Studies between Modulator, Synthetic Condition, and Performance. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2016**, *16*, 2295–2301, doi:10.1021/acs.cgd.6b00076.
- 16.Sakata, Y.; Furukawa, S.; Kim, C.; Kitagawa, S. Formation of Nanocrystals of a Zinc Pillared-layer Porous Coordination Polymer Using Microwaveassisted Coordination Modulation. *Chem. Lett.* 2012, 41, 1436–1438, doi:10.1246/cl.2012.1436.
- Tsuruoka, T.; Furukawa, S.; Takashima, Y.; Yoshida, K.; Isoda, S.; Kitagawa, S. Nanoporous Nanorods Fabricated by Coordination Modulation and Oriented Attachment Growth. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 4739–4743, doi:10.1002/anie.200901177.
- 18. Masoomi, M.Y.; Beheshti, S.; Morsali, A. Shape Control of Zn (II) Metal-Organic Frameworks by Modulation Synthesis and Their Morphology-Dependent Catalytic Performance. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2015**, *15*, 2533–2538, doi:10.1021/acs.cgd.5b00304.
- 19. Pham, M.-H.; Vuong, G.-T.; Fontaine, F.-G.; Do, T.-O. Rational Synthesis of Metal-Organic Framework Nanocubes and Nanosheets Using Selective Modulators and Their Morphology-Dependent Gas-Sorption Properties. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2012, 12, 3091–3095, doi:10.1021/cg300297p.
- Wang, Z.L.; Mohamed, M.B.; Link, S.; El-Sayed, M.A. Crystallographic facets and shapes of gold nanorods of different aspect ratios. Surf. Sci. 1999, 440, L809–L814, doi:10.1016/S0039-6028(99)00865-1.
- Phan, A.; Doonan, C.J.; Uribe-Romo, F.J.; Knobler, C.B.; Okeeffe, M.; Yaghi, O.M. Synthesis, structure, and carbon dioxide capture properties of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2010, 43, 58–67, doi:10.1021/ar900116g.

- 22. Lin, Y.; Kong, C.; Chen, L. Direct synthesis of amine-functionalized MIL-101 (Cr) nanoparticles and application for CO₂ capture. *RSC Adv.* 2012, 2, 6417–6419, doi:10.1039/c2ra20641b.
- 23. Saha, D.; Bao, Z.; Jia, F.; Deng, S. Adsorption of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and N₂ on MOF-5, MOF-177 and zeolite 5A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 1820– 1826, doi:10.1021/es9032309.
- 24. Graham, C.; Imrie, D.A.; Raab, R.E. Measurement of the electric quadrupole moments of CO₂, CO, N₂, Cl₂ and BF₃. *Mol. Phys. Int. J. Interface Chem. Phys.* 1998, *l*, 49–56, doi:10.1080/002689798169429.
- 25. Jiang, Z.R.; Wang, H.; Hu, Y.; Lu, J.; Jiang, H.L. Polar Group and Defect Engineering in a Metal-Organic Framework: Synergistic Promotion of Carbon Dioxide Sorption and Conversion. *Chem. Sustain. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *8*, 878–885, doi:10.1002/cssc.201403230.
- 26. Heinke, L.; Gu, Z.; Wöll, C. The surface barrier phenomenon at the loading of metal-organic frameworks. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 4562, doi:10.1038/ncomms5562.
- 27. Bao, Z.; Alnemrat, S.; Yu, L.; Vasiliev, I.; Ren, Q.; Lu, X.; Deng, S. Kinetic separation of carbon dioxide and methane on a copper metal-organic framework. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2011, *2*, 504– 509, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2011.01.103.
- 28. Ruthven, D.M. Principles of Adsorption and Adsorption Processes; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1984; ISBN 0471866067.
- 29. Bao, Z.; Yu, L.; Ren, Q.; Lu, X.; Deng, S. Adsorption of CO₂ and CH₄ on a magnesium-based metal organic framework. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2011, 2, 549–556, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2010.09.065.
- 30. Karra, J.R.; Walton, K.S. Molecular simulations and experimental studies of CO₂, CO, and N₂ adsorption in metal-organic frameworks. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 15735–15740, doi:10.1021/jp105519h.

- 31. Cmarik, G.E.; Kim, M.; Cohen, S.M.; Walton, K.S. Tuning the adsorption properties of UiO-66 via ligand functionalization. *Langmuir* 2012, 28, 15606–15613, doi:10.1021/la3035352.
- 32. Schneider, D.; Mehlhorn, D.; Zeigermann, P.; Kärger, J.; Valiullin, R. Transport properties of hierarchical micro-mesoporous materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, *12*, 3439–3467, doi:10.1039/C5CS00715A.
- 33. Chun, H.; Dybtsev, D.N.; Kim, H.; Kim, K. Synthesis, X-ray Crystal Structures, and Gas Sorption Properties of Pillared Square Grid Nets Based on Paddle-Wheel Motifs: Implications for Hydrogen Storage in Porous Materials. *Chem. A Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 3521–3529, doi:10.1002/chem.200401201.